

THE GIBBS MURDER TRIAL.

NO FURTHER EVIDENCE OFFERED BY THE DEFENSE.

The Council for Clarence and Sadie Robinson Ask the Court to Direct a Verdict for the Defendants on the Ground That There Was Not Sufficient Legal Evidence of Their Guilt—The Court Refused—The Jury to Lock Up for the Night.

BUFFALO, March 20.—When court opened this morning Judge Hardy set at rest all controversy as to what the defense in the Gibbs murder case would be by announcing that there would be no further defense made than that already offered in Sadie Robinson's testimony in rebuttal of Detective Cuse's testimony.

"The defendants move," he said, "that the Court direct the jury to find a verdict for the defendants on the following grounds:

"First—that the prosecution have failed to make out a case.

"Second—that the proof of the alleged confessions have not been made out to the jury freely and voluntarily made and having proceeded from the spontaneous expression of the minds of each of these defendants, free from the influence of any extraneous and disturbing cause.

"Third—that the remarks of the Assistant District Attorney, George Robinson, I have seen some of your friends, and was so prejudicial to the defendants' rights that the effect thereof cannot be removed from this jury.

"Fourth—that there is not sufficient material and legal evidence in this case to be submitted to the jury as a legal verdict.

"Fifth—that the evidence, if any, that of another and different crime than that alleged in the indictment.

"Sixth—that the proof in this case wholly fails to sustain a conviction of the crime as charged in the indictment.

"Seventh—that there is not sufficient corroborated evidence of the defendants' guilt.

"Eighth—that there is no direct evidence that the defendants were at the time of the alleged murder in the vicinity thereof.

"Ninth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Tenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Eleventh—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twelfth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Thirteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Fourteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Fifteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Sixteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Seventeenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Eighteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Nineteenth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twentieth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-first—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-second—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-third—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-fourth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-fifth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

"Twenty-sixth—that the evidence in this case is so contradictory and conflicting that the jury cannot reach a verdict.

JOSEPH ORR IS IMPROVING.

HIS MIND AND BODY RESPOND TO THE ELECTRIC CAUTERY.

He Talked a Little Yesterday and Wished He Could Tell His Mother What Happened to Him, but When He Was Asked He Lies Inasmuch as He Cannot Remember the Details.

Joseph Orr, the twenty-year-old Brooklyn boy who has been in the hospital since Saturday, is improving. He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

He is now able to talk a little and wishes he could tell his mother what happened to him, but when he was asked he lies inasmuch as he cannot remember the details.

DOUGHERTY MURDER TRIAL.

A Police Officer's Damaging Testimony—The Prosecution Closed.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

The prosecution in the trial of George Dougherty for the murder of Edward Meyer in front of the latter's home, 1503 Avenue A, at 4 1/2 o'clock on the morning of July 10, completed its case yesterday.

FOR NERVOUS PROSTRATION, Hysteria, Brain Fog, Hypochondria, Nervous Dyspepsia, Melancholia, Locomotor Ataxia, Insomnia, Epilepsy, and general system weakness, take Cerebrine.

For Functional Weakness of the Heart, resulting from general or local Nervous Debility; in Organic Disease, when the action of the Heart requires to be strengthened or rendered regular; Dropsy, Bright's Disease and Anemia, take Cardine.

In the latter condition Cardine acts with great certainty in increasing the quality of the red blood corpuscles.

For Myxodema, Goitre, Eczema, and Obesity, take Thyroidine.

For Congestion of the Ovaries, Chronic Inflammation of the Ovaries, Imperfect development of the Ovaries, Neuralgia of the Ovaries, Amenorrhoea, Chlorosis, Hysteria, Neurasthenia, and during the Climacteric or change of life, take Ovarine.

Above are the indications for the use of the

Animal Extracts

Prepared according to the formula of DR. WM. A. HAMMOND, in his laboratory at Washington, D. C.

The uniform dose of any of the Extracts is 5 drops (minims) two or three times daily.

Price, 12 drs. now One Dollar.

FOR SALE BY ALL DRUGGISTS.

THE COLUMBIA CHEMICAL CO., WASHINGTON, D. C.

Send for Book.

Black Dress Goods.

This season's Importations of Figured Novelties, Sicilienne, Brillantines, Poil de Chèvre and Crepons.

Lord & Taylor, Broadway & 20th St.

TIED OF THEIR MARRIED TIES.

A Husband of Fifty Years for Separation on the Ground of Cruelty.

Louise P. Smeek, 55 years old, moved for \$50 a week salary and a counsel fee of \$200 before Justice Beckman of the Superior Court yesterday, in an action for separation which she brought against William Smeek, 53 years old, who lives on the corner of 10th and 11th streets.

She is a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

They separated first in 1891, when Mrs. Smeek brought a separation suit, which was discontinued before trial. They were separated again in January, 1892, when she brought a new suit, which was also discontinued before trial.

She is now a widow with four children who she married the plaintiff in 1865. They have one child, who, with the children by the former marriage, sides against the father. The Smeeks were married ten years traveling in Europe and other countries.

G. H. HOLLENBECK'S GUEST.

THE YOUNG MAN FROM DENVER WAS UNGRATEFUL.

Had It Not Been for Policeman Moran, a Fine Hotel Good Samaritan Would Have Left the Ungrateful Guest in the Street.

While George H. Hollenbeck, who lives in the Alpine apartment house at 35 West Thirty-third street, was crossing Fifth avenue and Thirty-third street on his way home after a visit to the theatre and the usual subsequent supper on Tuesday night, a young man tapped him on the shoulder.

"Can I speak to you a minute, Mr. Hollenbeck?" asked the young man.

"Certainly, my son," Hollenbeck replied, waving his hand in the air. "What's the trouble?"

"I'm starving," said the young man. "Won't you please give me fifteen cents to get something to eat?"

"Don't you want the money to get a drink?" asked Hollenbeck.

"No, sir," said the young man. "I want the money to get something to eat."

Hollenbeck, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

The young man, who was a good Samaritan, gave the young man the money and he disappeared.

INSPECTOR KENNEDY SUSPENDED.

Accused of Permitting Bachelors to Put Up Bachelors' Structures.

Wesley C. Bush, the Commissioner of the Department of Buildings in Brooklyn, yesterday suspended Inspector J. J. Kennedy for gross negligence in failing to inspect properly the four-story apartment house on the south side of Jefferson avenue, between Howard and Ralph avenues. The owner of the building is Mrs. Sarah C. Minto and her husband is the builder.

The permit for the erection of the house, which are not yet finished, has been revoked.

Mr. Bush received complaints about Inspector Kennedy's negligence and determined to make a personal investigation. He found that the inspector was very particular in inspecting the buildings after the collapse of the Orchard street building to keep a close watch on builders and report any infraction, however slight, of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in violation of the building laws.

Mr. Bush examined Mrs. Minto's apartment house and found that it was in violation of the building laws. He found that the building was in